

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,476	01/10/2002	Ray A. Walker	10019374-1	9903
7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LIANG, LEONARD S	
Intellectual Property Administration			ARTIBUT	DADED MINORD
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins CO 80527-2400			2853	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/044,476	WALKER, RAY A.		
7.a.7.c.7 7.c	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leonard S Liang	2853	and a	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the apcondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	plication in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECT 706.07(f).	rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e appropriate extension e final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	n
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below).	ow);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing issues for appeal; and/or	or simplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected of	claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	s NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered will be entered or b) will be ente	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
	LSL

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive, especially in view of the applicant's narrow interpretation of the claimed invention. The applicant argues, "Hay only suggests that the peripheral devices (not the communication link) may be installed on or within the replaceable component." However, the applicant here is arguing about "communication links" when what is claimed is a "radio frequency interface". The examiner believes the applicant is trying to narrow the definition of radio frequency interface by excluding the sensor's role and only focusing on the communication links. Also, the applicant is arguing only looking in the context of the secondary reference without considering the context of the primary reference. In the primary reference, Walker, it is clear that the sensor is an integral part of the disclosed radio frequency interface (figure 8), even though the sensor is clearly not the link. Walker lacks because it does not disclose the sensor inside the interior space of the ink reservoir, and that is what Hay teaches. If the applicant wishes to argue that the communication link itself must be inside the reservoir, it must be claimed in the claimed invention. For the purposes of the current claimed invention, the examiner considers the sensor an integral part of the claimed radio frequency interface, and thus the previous rejection is found proper.

しらし

AMSON NGUYEN
AMARY EXAMINER